

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/725,059	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAVOIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeff Wollschlager.

(2) Dominic Goudreault.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:00

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
-NA-

Claims discussed:  
1, 6 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:  
-NA-

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Wollschlager proposed an examiner's amendment to put the case into condition for allowance wherein the limitations from claim 6 (including claim 5) would be brought into claim 1 and claim 9 (including the limitations from claim 7 & 8) would be re-written in independent form. Mr. Goudreault agreed and asked to have claims 12-15 duplicated as dependent claims for the new independent claim 9 and further asked to have Figure 5 placed on the front of the patent. Ex. Wollschlager reviewed the claims and Figure 5 and agreed.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Jeff Wollschlager/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)